

SWANHILD BERNSTEIN¹

Monotonicity principles for singular integral equations in Clifford analysis

1 Introduction

Monotonicity principles are used to get informations about nonlinear singular integral equations. These results are based on the famous theorem of Browder and Minty (see for example [24])

Theorem 1 (Browder-Minty) *Let X be a real separable Banach space. If the operator $A : X \rightarrow X^*$ is monotone, i.e. $\langle Au - Av, u - v \rangle \geq 0$, coercive, i.e. $\lim_{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\langle Au, u \rangle}{\|u\|} = \infty$, and hemicontinuous then A is surjective. If A is moreover strictly monotone, then the solution of $Au = b$, $b \in X^*$, is unique.*

In [21] this theorem is used by L. v. Wolfersdorf to consider singular integral equations on the real halfline involving the singular (complex) Hilbert operator. This theory is extended by Askabarov in [1] to the complex case.

We want to investigate a special family of singular integral operators in Clifford analysis which has in $\mathbb{R}^3$ an application to the nonlinear magnetic field equation ([5]) considered by M. Friedman. Electromagnetic processes are described using quaternionic and Clifford analysis by several authors ([2], [3], [4], [6], [7], [8], [10], [11], [12], [17], [18], [19], [22], [23]). These considerations mainly based on the operator $D + a$. To this subject we want to recommend the book by V.V. Kravchenko and M.V. Shapiro ([13]) and the book by K. Gürlebeck and W. Sprößig ([9]).

Singular integral operators are investigated by S.G. Michlin and S. Prößdorf in [16] and especially using Clifford analytical methods by A. McIntosh, C. Li and S. Semmes in [14] and by A. McIntosh, C. Li and T. Qian in [15].

Properties of the Nemickii operator, monoton operators and so one may be found in the book [24] by E. Zeidler.

2 Clifford algebras

Let us denote by $\mathcal{C}_{0,h}(\mathbb{R})$ the *real Clifford algebra* associated to the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^h$ generated by the elements $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^h$ with

$$e_i e_k + e_k e_i = -2\delta_{ik}.$$

¹This paper was completed when the author was visiting the University of Arkansas at Fayetteville, supported by a Feodor-Lynen-fellowship of the Alexander von Humboldt foundation

An arbitrary element of $\mathcal{C}_{0,h}(\mathbb{R})$ has a representation of the form

$$a = \sum_I a_I e_I, \quad a_I \in \mathbb{R},$$

where I denotes ordered l-tuples of the form $I = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l)$, with $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_l \leq h$, where $0 \leq l \leq h$. Furthermore, e_I stands for the product $e_{i_1} e_{i_2} \dots e_{i_l}$. By convention, $e_\emptyset := e_0 := 1$.

On $\mathcal{C}_{0,h}(\mathbb{R})$ may be introduced an involution, sometimes called main involution:

$$\bar{a} := \sum_I a_I \bar{e}_I, \quad \bar{e}_I := (-1)^{\frac{|I|(|I|+1)}{2}} e_I.$$

The *complexified Clifford algebra* $\mathcal{C}_{0,h}(\mathbb{C})$ associated to $\mathbb{R}^h$ is

$$\mathcal{C}_{0,h} \otimes \mathbb{C},$$

where $\mathbb{C}$ denotes the complex numbers. Thus, an arbitrary element c of the complexified Clifford algebra has a representation of form

$$c = \sum_I c_I e_I = a + ib = \sum_I a_I e_I + i \sum_I b_I e_I, \quad c_I = a_I + ib_I \in \mathbb{C}, \quad a_I, b_I \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In the complexified Clifford algebra may be introduced another involution:

$$\tilde{c} := \sum_I \bar{c}_I \bar{e}_I,$$

where $\bar{c} = a_I - ib_I$. We call $c_0 e_0 = c_0$ the scalar part of c and denote by $\mathcal{P}$ the operator

$$\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{C}_{0,h}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \mathcal{P}c = c_0.$$

This operator is a projection because of $\mathcal{P}^2 = \mathcal{P}$ and we denote by $\mathcal{Q}$ the complementary projection $\mathcal{Q} = I - \mathcal{P}$. Further, we denote by $\mathcal{Re}$ the operator

$$\mathcal{Re} : \mathcal{C}_{0,h}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \mathcal{Re} c = a_0.$$

Moreover, the Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}_{0,h}(\mathbb{C})$ becomes a normed algebra with

$$|c| := \left(\sum_I |c_I|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = [c, c].$$

Here,

$$[u, v] := \mathcal{Re} \sum_{I,J} \bar{u}_I \bar{e}_I v_J e_J$$

is a real scalar product and the Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}_{0,n}$ becomes a real Hilbert space.

3 Clifford analysis

Let G be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with Lipschitz boundary ∂G . This means that ∂G may be covered by finitely many open sets W_k such that each set $G \cap W_k$ is represented by the inequality $x_n > g_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1})$, where g_k is a Lipschitz function.

On such a domain, the exterior unit normal $n(y)$ is defined for almost all $y \in \partial G$, and Gauß's theorem is valid.

Let $u \in C^1(G)$ (taking values in a Clifford algebra) then the *Dirac operator* is defined as

$$(Du)(x) = \sum_I \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial u_I}{\partial x_j}(x) e_j e_I.$$

Any solution u of the equation $Du = 0$ is called a *left monogenic* function. Analogously, if the Dirac operator is acting from the right any solution u of $uD = 0$ is called a right monogenic function.

Moreover, we consider the *disturbed Dirac operator*

$$D_{ia}u = (D + {}^{ia}M) = \sum_I \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial u_I}{\partial x_j}(x) e_j e_I + \sum_I \sum_{j=1}^n i a_j u_I e_j e_I + i a_0 \sum_I u_I e_I,$$

where $a = a_0 e_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n a_j e_j$ and $a_k \in \mathbb{R}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, thus a is a paravector in the Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}_{0,n}$.

A fundamental solution of D_{ia} is

$$e_{ia} = e^{-i\langle a, x \rangle} \{ (D - {}^{ia_0}M) K_{a_0} \},$$

where $\langle a, x \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j x_j$ and

$$K_{a_0}(x) = K_{a_0}(|x|) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \left(\frac{a_0}{|x|} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}-1} K_{\frac{n}{2}-1}(a_0|x|),$$

is a fundamental solution of $-\Delta + a_0^2$ (cf. [20]) and $K_{\frac{n}{2}-1}$ denotes the modified Bessel functions, the so-called MacDonalds functions, of order $\frac{n}{2} - 1$.

With the aid of this fundamental solution we define

$$T_{ia}u := \int_G e_{ia}(x-y)u(y)dy.$$

Then, we get

$$D_{ia}T_{ia}u = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bar{G} \\ u & \text{in } G. \end{cases}$$

As mentioned above Gauß's formula holds and from this it is derived

$$\int_G D u dG = \int_{\Gamma} n v d\Gamma.$$

Let $L_{2,\mathcal{C}}(G)$ denote the normed space of measurable functions u from G to $\mathcal{C}_{0,h}$ for which the norm

$$||u|| = \left(\int_G |u|^2 dG \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty,$$

It is a (real!) Hilbert space created by the scalar product

$$(u, v) := \mathcal{R}e \int_G \tilde{u} v dG = \int_G \mathcal{R}e (\tilde{u} v) dG.$$

4 The Nemyckii operator

We want to study two types of nonsingular integral equations. First, we require the properties of the so-called Nemyckii operator F in a Clifford analysis context. This operator is defined as

$$(Fu)(x) = f(x, u_1(x), u_2(x), \dots, u_n(x))$$

with $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)$. We make the following assumptions:

(A1) Carathéodory condition: Let $f : G \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{0,n}(\mathbb{C})$ be a given function, where G is a nonempty set in $\mathbb{R}^N$, $n, N \geq 1$. Moreover,

$$x \rightarrow f(x, u) \text{ is measurable on } G \text{ for all } u \in \mathbb{R}^n;$$

$$u \rightarrow f(x, u) \text{ is continuous on } \mathbb{R}^n \text{ for almost all } x \in G.$$

(A2) Growth condition: For all $(x, u) \in G \times \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$|f(x, u)| \leq a(x) + b|u|.$$

Here, b is a fixed positive number and $a \in L_2(G)$ is a real-valued nonnegative function.

Proposition 1 *Under the two assumptions (A1) and (A2), the following are valid:
The Nemyckii operator*

$$F : L_{2,\mathcal{C}}(G) \rightarrow L_{2,\mathcal{C}}(G)$$

is continuous and bounded with

$$\|Fu\|_{L_2, \mathcal{C}} \leq \text{const}(\|a\|_{L_2} + \|u\|_{L_2, \mathcal{C}})$$

and

$$(Fu, u) = \operatorname{Re} \int_G f(x, \widetilde{u(x)}) u(x) dx \quad \text{for all } u \in L_{2, \mathcal{C}}(G).$$

Moreover,

Monotonicity of f: The function f is monotone with respect to u i.e. $[f(x, u) - f(x, v), u - v] \geq 0$ for all $u, v \in L_{2, \mathcal{C}}(G)$.	implies F is monotone.
Strictly monotonicity of f: The function f is strictly monotone with respect to u i.e. $[f(x, u) - f(x, v), u - v] > 0$ for all $u, v \in L_{2, \mathcal{C}}(G)$.	implies F is strictly monotone.
Coercivness of f: $[f(x, u), u] \geq d u ^2 + g(x),$ where $g \in L_1(G)$.	implies F is coercive and $(Fu, u) \geq d\ u\ ^2 + \int_G g(x) dx$ for all $u \in L_{2, \mathcal{C}}(G)$.
Positivity of f: For all $(x, u) \in G \times \mathbb{R}^n$ $[f(x, u), u] \geq 0.$	implies $(Fu, u) \geq 0 \text{ for all } u \in L_{2, \mathcal{C}}(G).$

Asymptotic positivity of f: There exists a number $R > 0$ such that $[f(x, u), u] \geq 0$ holds for all $(x, u) \in G \times \mathbb{R}^n$ with $u \geq R$ and $\text{meas } G < \infty$.	implies $(Fu, u) \geq -c \text{ for all } u \in L_{2,\mathcal{A}}(G),$ where c is a positive constant.
Lipschitz continuity of f: There is a constant $L > 0$ such that $ f(x, u) - f(x, v) \leq L u - v .$	implies F is Lipschitz continuous.

5 A family of positive operators

5.1 The singular integral operator $D_{ia}\mathcal{P}T_{ia}$

The aim of this section is to show that the operator $D_{ia}\mathcal{P}T_{ia}$ is a (general) singular integral operator of Calderon-Zygmund-type. Here, "general" means a singular operator plus weakly singular operator parts.

For this purpose it is usefull to recall some properties of Bessel functions. First of all the MacDonalds functions K_p fulfill the recursion formula

$$\frac{d}{dt}[t^{-p}K_p(t)] = -t^{-p}K_{p+1}(t),$$

second these functions are linked with the Bessel functions of third order, the Hankel functions of second order $H_p^{(2)}$ in the following way:

$$K_p(t) = -\frac{1}{2}\pi i e^{-\frac{1}{2}ip\pi} H_p^{(2)}(te^{-\frac{1}{2}i\pi}) \quad \left(-\frac{1}{2}\pi < \arg t < \pi\right).$$

For our singular integral operator is important the behavior $t \rightarrow 0$. For the Hankel functions are valid

$$H_p^{(2)}(t) \sim +i \left(\frac{2}{p}\right)^p \frac{\Gamma(p)}{\pi}, \quad t \rightarrow 0, \quad (p > 0).$$

Thus, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d(a_0|x|)} K_{a_0}(|x|) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} a_0^{n-2} \cdot a_0 \frac{d}{d(a_0|x|)} (a_0|x|)^{-(\frac{n}{2}-1)} K_{\frac{n}{2}-1}(a_0|x|) = \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} a_0^{n-1} (-1) \left(\frac{1}{a_0|x|}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}-1} K_{\frac{n}{2}}(a_0|x|). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} (D - ia_0)K_{a_0}(x) &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{x_j e_j}{|x|} \right) a_0 \frac{dK_{a_0}(|x|)}{d(a_0|x|)} - ia_0 K_{a_0}(|x|) = \\ &= \frac{-1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} (a_0|x|)^{\frac{n}{2}} K_{\frac{n}{2}}(a_0|x|) \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{x_j e_j}{|x|^n} - \frac{ia_0}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \frac{1}{|x|^{n-2}} (a_0|x|)^{\frac{n}{2}-1} K_{\frac{n}{2}-1}(a_0|x|). \end{aligned}$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_{ia}(x) &= e^{-i\langle a, x \rangle} \{ (D - ia_0) M K_{a_0}(x) \} = e^{-i\langle a, x \rangle} \{ (D - ia_0) K_{a_0}(x) \} = \\ &= \frac{-e^{-i\langle a, x \rangle}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{x_j e_j}{|x|^n} (a_0|x|)^{\frac{n}{2}} K_{\frac{n}{2}}(a_0|x|) + \frac{ia_0}{|x|^{n-2}} (a_0|x|)^{\frac{n}{2}-1} K_{\frac{n}{2}-1}(a_0|x|) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the properties of modified Bessel functions we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{e^{-i\langle a, x \rangle}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} (a_0|x|)^{\frac{n}{2}} K_{\frac{n}{2}}(a_0|x|) &= \frac{e^{-i\langle a, x \rangle}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} (a_0|x|)^{\frac{n}{2}} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \right) \pi i (-i)^{\frac{n}{2}} H_{\frac{n}{2}}^{(2)}(-ia_0|x|) = \\ &= \frac{e^{-i\langle a, x \rangle}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} (a_0|x|)^{\frac{n}{2}} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \right) \pi i (-i)^{\frac{n}{2}} i \left(\frac{2}{-ia_0|x|} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)}{\pi} + \mathcal{O}(|x|^\tau) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + \mathcal{O}(|x|^\tau) = \frac{1}{\sigma_n} + \mathcal{O}(|x|^\tau), \quad \tau > 0, \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

We simply write $e(x)$ instead of $e_0(x)$, i.e.

$$e(x) = \frac{-1}{\sigma_n} \frac{x}{|x|^n}$$

and thus

$$e_{ia} - e(x) = \mathcal{O}(|x|^{-n+1+\tau}), \quad \tau > 0,$$

where $e(x) = e_0(x)$ and also for every component, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we have

$$(e_{ia}(x))_j - (e(x))_j = \mathcal{O}(|x|^{-n+1+\tau}), \quad \tau > 0.$$

Now, let

$$T_j u := \int_G (e_{ia}(x - y))_j u(y) dy, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

then

$$T_j u = \int_G (e(x - y))_j u(y) dy + \int_G \{ (e_{ia}(x - y))_j - (e(x - y))_j \} u(y) dy$$

and thus (cf. [16])

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial T_j}{\partial x_k} u &= \int_G \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} (e(x-y))_j u(y) dy + \\ &\int_G \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \{ (e_{ia}(x-y))_j - (e(x-y))_j \} u(y) dy - (n-2) \int_{S^n} (x_j - y_j) \cos(r, x_k) dS^n \\ &= \int_G \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} (e_{ia}(x-y))_j u(y) dy - \delta_{jk} \frac{(n-2)}{n} \sigma_n \cdot u(x) \end{aligned}$$

and the main part of the integral operator

$$\int_G \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} (e(x-y))_j u(y) dy = -\frac{1}{\sigma_n} \int_G \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left\{ \frac{(x_j - y_j)}{|x - y|^n} \right\} u(y) dy$$

is a singular integral operator of Calderon-Zygmund-type. To sumrize, the operator $D_{ia}\mathcal{P}T_{ia}$ is a (general) singular integral operator.

5.2 Properties of the singular integral operator $D_{ia}\mathcal{P}T_{ia}$

Proposition 2 (cf. [16]) *If the symbol of a singular integral operator does not depend on the pole then that operator is bounded in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^m)$.*

Therefore, the operator $D_{ia}\mathcal{P}T_{ia}$ is bounded in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^m)$.

Theorem 2 *The operator $D_{ia}\mathcal{P}T_{ia}$ is monotone in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^m)$ and has a norm less than 1.*

Proof: Because $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $L_{\mathcal{A},2}(G)$ we get with $v \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\begin{aligned} (D_{ia}\mathcal{P}T_{ia}v, v)_G &= (D_{ia}\mathcal{P}T_{ia}v, D_{ia}T_{ia}v)_G \\ &= ||D_{ia}\mathcal{P}T_{ia}v||_{2,G}^2 + (D_{ia}\mathcal{P}T_{ia}v, D_{ia}\mathcal{Q}T_{ia}v)_G \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &(D_{ia}\mathcal{P}T_{ia}v, D_{ia}\mathcal{Q}T_{ia}v)_G = \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \int_G D_{ia}\widetilde{\mathcal{P}T_{ia}v} \cdot D_{ia}\mathcal{Q}T_{ia}v dx = \operatorname{Re} \int_G -D_{ia}\overline{\mathcal{P}T_{ia}v} \cdot D_{ia}\mathcal{Q}T_{ia}v dx. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{Re} \int_G -D\{\overline{\mathcal{P}T_{ia}v} \cdot D_{ia}\mathcal{Q}T_{ia}v\} dx = \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \int_G -D\overline{\mathcal{P}T_{ia}v} \cdot D_{ia}\mathcal{Q}T_{ia}v dx + \operatorname{Re} \int_G \overline{\mathcal{P}T_{ia}v} \cdot (-D)D_{ia}\mathcal{Q}T_{ia}v dx = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mathcal{R}e \int_G -D\overline{\mathcal{P}T_{ia}v} \cdot D_{ia}\mathcal{Q}T_{ia}v dx + \mathcal{R}e \int_G \overline{\mathcal{P}T_{ia}v} \cdot \Delta \mathcal{Q}T_{ia}v dx + \\
&\quad - \mathcal{R}e \int_G \overline{\mathcal{P}T_{ia}v} \cdot D^{ia}M\mathcal{Q}T_{ia}v dx = \\
&= \mathcal{R}e \int_G -D\overline{\mathcal{P}T_{ia}v} \cdot D_{ia}\mathcal{Q}T_{ia}v dx - \mathcal{R}e \int_G \overline{\mathcal{P}T_{ia}v} \cdot D^{ia}M\mathcal{Q}T_{ia}v dx
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&(D_{ia}\mathcal{P}T_{ia}v, D_{ia}\mathcal{Q}T_{ia}v)_G = \\
&\mathcal{R}e \int_G -D\{\overline{\mathcal{P}T_{ia}v} \cdot D_{ia}\mathcal{Q}T_{ia}v\}dx + \mathcal{R}e \int_G \overline{\mathcal{P}T_{ia}v} \cdot D^{ia}M\mathcal{Q}T_{ia}v dx + \\
&\quad + \mathcal{R}e \int_G {}^{-i\bar{a}}M\overline{\mathcal{P}T_{ia}v} \cdot (D + {}^{ia}M)\mathcal{Q}T_{ia}v\}dx = \\
&= \mathcal{R}e \int_G -D\{\overline{\mathcal{P}T_{ia}v} \cdot D_{ia}\mathcal{Q}T_{ia}v\}dx + \mathcal{R}e \int_G \overline{\mathcal{P}T_{ia}v} \cdot D^{ia}M\mathcal{Q}T_{ia}v\}dx + \\
&\quad + \mathcal{R}e \int_G {}^{-i\bar{a}}M\overline{\mathcal{P}T_{ia}v} \cdot D\mathcal{Q}T_{ia}v\}dx + \mathcal{R}e \int_G \overline{\mathcal{P}T_{ia}v} \cdot ({}^{-i\bar{a}}M{}^{ia}M)\mathcal{Q}T_{ia}v dx. \tag{1}
\end{aligned}$$

Due to $-i\bar{a}ia = \bar{a}a = |a|^2 \in \mathbb{R}$ we have ${}^{-i\bar{a}}M{}^{ia}M = |a|^2M$ and thus

$$({}^{-i\bar{a}}M{}^{ia}M)\mathcal{Q}T_{ia}v = |a|^2M\mathcal{Q}T_{ia}v = \mathcal{Q}|a|^2MT_{ia}v \in \text{im } \mathcal{Q}$$

and the last integral in (1) is equal to zero. Moreover, we have

$${}^{-\bar{a}}MD + D^aM = \mathcal{Q}^aMD + D\mathcal{Q}^aM = 2\mathcal{P}({}^aMD)$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{R}e \int_G \overline{\mathcal{P}T_{ia}v} \cdot D^{ia}M\mathcal{Q}T_{ia}v\}dx + \mathcal{R}e \int_G {}^{-i\bar{a}}M\overline{\mathcal{P}T_{ia}v} \cdot D\mathcal{Q}T_{ia}v\}dx = \\
&= \mathcal{R}e \int_G \overline{\mathcal{P}T_{ia}v} \cdot {}^{-\bar{a}}MD + D^aM\mathcal{Q}T_{ia}v\}dx = \mathcal{R}e \int_G \overline{\mathcal{P}T_{ia}v} \cdot 2\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{P}^aMDT_{ia}v\}dx = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

To sum up, we obtain

$$(D_{ia}\mathcal{P}T_{ia}v, v)_G = \mathcal{R}e \int_G -D\{\overline{\mathcal{P}T_{ia}v} \cdot D_{ia}\mathcal{Q}T_{ia}v\}dx.$$

Using Gauß's formula we get

$$(D_{ia}\mathcal{P}T_{ia}v, v)_G = \mathcal{R}e \int_{\Gamma} -n \cdot \{\overline{\mathcal{P}T_{ia}v} \cdot D_{ia}\mathcal{Q}T_{ia}v\}dx.$$

In an analogously way we derive

$$(D_{ia}\mathcal{P}T_{ia}v, v)_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \bar{G}} = \operatorname{Re} \int_{\Gamma} n \cdot \left\{ \overline{\mathcal{P}T_{ia}v} \cdot D_{ia}\mathcal{Q}T_{ia}v \right\} dx.$$

Due to Borel-Pompeiu formula we have

$$0 = \|D_{ia}T_{ia}v\|_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \bar{G}}^2 = \|D_{ia}\mathcal{P}T_{ia}v\|_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \bar{G}}^2 + 2(D_{ia}\mathcal{P}T_{ia}v, D_{ia}\mathcal{Q}T_{ia}v)_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \bar{G}} + \|D_{ia}\mathcal{Q}T_{ia}v\|_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \bar{G}}^2.$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} (D_{ia}\mathcal{P}T_{ia}v, D_{ia}\mathcal{Q}T_{ia}v)_G &= -(D_{ia}\mathcal{P}T_{ia}v, D_{ia}\mathcal{Q}T_{ia}v)_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \bar{G}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \|D_{ia}\mathcal{P}T_{ia}v\|_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \bar{G}}^2 + \|D_{ia}\mathcal{Q}T_{ia}v\|_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \bar{G}}^2 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

This leads to the estimation

$$(D_{ia}\mathcal{P}T_{ia}v, v)_G = \|D_{ia}\mathcal{P}T_{ia}v\|_G^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \|D_{ia}\mathcal{P}T_{ia}v\|_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \bar{G}}^2 + \|D_{ia}\mathcal{Q}T_{ia}v\|_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \bar{G}}^2 \right\} \geq 0$$

which proves the positivity of the operator $D_{ia}\mathcal{P}T_{ia}$. Moreover, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_G^2 &= (v, v)_G = \\ &= \|D_{ia}\mathcal{P}T_{ia}v\|_G^2 + 2(D_{ia}\mathcal{P}T_{ia}v, D_{ia}\mathcal{Q}T_{ia}v)_G + \|D_{ia}\mathcal{Q}T_{ia}v\|_G^2 \geq \|D_{ia}\mathcal{P}T_{ia}v\|_G^2 \end{aligned}$$

i.e. the norm of the operator $D_{ia}\mathcal{P}T_{ia}$ is not greater than 1.

5.3 Nonlinear integral equations

Let us denote by $PVecL_{2,\mathcal{A}}$ the subspace of all paravectors, i.e. elements of the form $u = \sum_{j=0}^n u_j e_j$, of $L_{2,\mathcal{A}}$. It is easy to see that $D_{ia}\mathcal{P}T_{ia}$ maps $PVecL_{2,\mathcal{A}}$ into $PVecL_{2,\mathcal{A}}$ and is a monotone operator. If additional $a_0 = 0$ then $D_{ia}\mathcal{P}T_{ia}$ maps $VecL_{2,\mathcal{A}}$, i.e. the subspace of all vectors $u = \sum_{j=1}^n u_j e_j$ into itself. Therefore, we can use monotonicity principles to get information about the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned} Au &= g, & g &\in PVecL_{2,\mathcal{A}}, \\ \text{where } Au &= F(x, u) + Bu, & Bu &= D_{ia}\mathcal{P}T_{ia}u. \end{aligned}$$

6 Some remarks

6.1 Representation formulae

The operator $D_{ia}\mathcal{P}T_{ia}$ may be represented as

$$D_{ia}\mathcal{P}T_{ia}u = D_{ia}\mathcal{P} \left(\int_G \left(e^{-i\langle a, x-y \rangle} D_{-ia_0} K_{ia_0}(x-y) \right) u(y) dy \right)$$

In the case $a = 0$ we get simply

$$DPTu = \frac{1}{2^n \pi^{n/2}} D\mathcal{P}D \left(\int_G \frac{1}{\sigma_n} \left(\frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-2}} \right) u(y) dy \right)$$

6.2 An application

In case of $n = 3$ the operator DPT plays an important role in magnetic field calculations ([5]). The general problem is to solve the nonlinear singular integral equation

$$F(M(x), x) - \frac{1}{4\pi} \text{grad div} \int_G \frac{M(y)}{|x-y|} dy = H_a(x)$$

for the magnetization $M(x) = (M_1(x), M_2(x), M_3(x))$ given an “applied field” $H_a(x)$. G is a bounded region in $\mathbb{R}^3$, which is imagined to be filled with a ferromagnetic material. $H(x) = F(M(x), x)$ is the net field in G considered as a function of M ; F is, as a rule, an experimental function representing the magnetic permeability of the of the ferromagnetic material, which varies with the magnetization. this relationship is usually given by a single valued magnetization curve, called the $M - H$ characteristic of the magnetic material; obtained by neglecting the hysteresis effects. The integral represents the demagnetization field due to spatial distribution of magnetization.

Let us denote by $H_i(x)$ the field $H(x) - H_a(x)$ induced by $M(x)$. Then the monotonicity (=positivity) of the operator DPT leads to

$$(H_i, M) \leq 0 \tag{2}$$

and norm not greater than one gives

$$-(H_i, M) \leq \|M\|^2 \text{ or } \left| \left(H_i, \frac{M}{\|M\|} \right) \right| \leq \|M\|. \tag{3}$$

The relation 2 indicates that the mean angle between H_i and M in G is not less than $\frac{\pi}{2}$ and 3 that the mean value of the projection of H_i onto M is not more than the mean value of M in G .

This coincides with the well-known maxims of electrical engineers that “the induced field is directed opposite of the net field, or magnetization”, and “the induced field is less than the magnetization.”

References

- [1] Askabarov, S.N. (1992) Singular Integral Equations with Monotone Nonlinearity in Complex Lebesgue Spaces, *Zeitschrift für Analysis und ihre Anwendungen*, vol. 11, 77–84.
- [2] Bernstein, S. (1996) Fundamental solutions for Dirac-type operators, *In J. Lawrynowicz (ed.), Generalizations of Complex Analysis and their Applications in Physics, Banach Center Publ.* vol. 37, 159–172.
- [3] Brackx, F. and Van Acker, N. (1993) Boundary value theory for eigenfunctions of the Dirac operator, *Bull. Soc. Math. Belg.*, vol. 45, # 2, Ser. B, 113–123.
- [4] Brackx, F., Delanghe, R., Sommen, F. and Van Acker, N. (1993) Reproducing kernels on the unit sphere, *In Pathak, R. S. (ed.), Generalized functions and their applications. Proceedings of the international symposium, held December 23-26, 1991 in Varanasi, India.* New York: Plenum Press, 1–10.
- [5] Friedman, M.J. (1980) Mathematical study of the nonlinear singular integral magnetic field equation I, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, vol. 39, no. 1, 14–20.
- [6] Gürlebeck, K. (1986) Hypercomplex Factorization of the Helmholtz Equation, *Zeitschrift für Analysis und ihre Anwendungen* Bd. 5 (2), 125–131.
- [7] Gürlebeck, K. (1988) Grundlagen einer diskreten räumlich verallgemeinerten Funktionentheorie und ihre Anwendungen, Diss. (B), TU Karl-Marx-Stadt (Chemnitz).
- [8] Gürlebeck, K. and Sprößig, W. (1990) Quaternionic Analysis and Elliptic Boundary Value Problems. *Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel.*
- [9] Gürlebeck, K. and Sprößig, W. (1997) Quaternionic and Clifford calculus for Engineers and Physicists. *Wiley & Sons Publ.*
- [10] Huang, L. (1990) The existence and uniqueness theorems of the linear and nonlinear R.-H. problems for the generalized holomorphic vector of the second kind, *Acta Math. Sci. Engl. Ed.* 10 no. 2, 185–199.
- [11] Kravchenko, V. V. and Shapiro, M. V. (1993) Helmholtz operator with a quaternionic wave number and associated function theory II. Integral representations, *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae* 32, No. 3: 243–265.
- [12] Kravchenko, V. V. and Shapiro, M. V. (1994) Helmholtz operator with a quaternionic wave number and associated function theory, *Deformations of Mathematical Structures, II*, Kluwer Academic Publishers (ed.: J. Lawrynowicz), 101–128.

- [13] Kravchenko, V. V. and Shapiro, M. V. (1996) Integral representations for spatial models of mathematical physics. *Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series* 351.
- [14] McIntosh, A., Li, C. and Semmes, S. (1992) Convolution singular integrals on Lipschitz surfaces, *Journal of the American Mathematical Society* 5:455–481.
- [15] McIntosh, A., Li, C. and Qian, T. (1994) Clifford algebras, Fourier transforms, and singular convolution operators on Lipschitz surfaces, *Revista Matemática Iberoamericana* 10:665–721.
- [16] Michlin, S.G., Pröbldorf, S. (1986) Singular integral operators, *Akademie-Verlag Berlin*.
- [17] Mitrea, M. (1996) Boundary value problems and Hardy spaces associated to the Helmholtz equation in Lipschitz domains, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 202, No.3, 819–842.
- [18] Obolashvili, E. (1975) Space generalized holomorphic vectors, *Diff. Urav.* T. XI. 1, 108–115, (Russian).
- [19] Obolashvili, E. (1988) Effective solutions of some boundary value problems in two and three dimensional cases, *Functional analytical methods in complex analysis and applications to PDE*, Trieste, 149–172.
- [20] Ortner, N. (1980) Regularisierte Faltung von Distributionen. Teil 2: Eine Tabelle von Fundamentallösungen, *J. of Appl. Math. and Physics (ZAMP)* 31:133–155.
- [21] von Wolfersdorf, L. (1987) Some recent developments in the theory of nonlinear singular integral equations, *Zeitschrift für Analysis und ihre Anwendungen* 6:83–92.
- [22] Xu, Z. (1991) A function theory for the operator $D - \lambda$. *Complex Variables Theory and Appl.* 16: 27–42.
- [23] Xu, Z. (1992) Helmholtz equations and boundary value problems, *In: Partial differential equations with complex analysis, (H. Begehr, A. Jeffrey, eds.)*, *Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series* 262, 204–214.
- [24] Zeidler, E. (1993) Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Applications II: Monotone Operators, *Springer Verlag, New York, Berlin*.

Swanhild Bernstein
Institute of Applied Mathematics I
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
University of Mining and Technology
D-09596 Freiberg
Germany

e-mail bernstein@mathe.tu-freiberg.de